General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some
 of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original
 submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

E7.4-10.661

PURDUE UNIVERSITY CR-138893

"Made available under MASA sponsorsfift "Made available dider MASA Sponsulation to the inter of early and wide distributions of Remote Sensing in the inter of early and wide distributions experience and many environment

Semination . . . th Resources Survey PUHDUE INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH PARK Semination of the necountry transfer on the property was the exercise indiana associated was the exercise and the exercise as a second of the exercise as a second

for any use world that sot."

Project SR-397 Contract # NAS 9-13301

Activities during the subject reporting period were concerned principally with the acquisition and processing of data from the SL-2 mission (EREP pass #7) over the Lake Monroe, Ind-Lina test site. No data from SL-3 were acquired and data from SL-4 is not complete enough for analysis. Products from the S-100A and B, and S-191 sensors were used in the analysis along with data from ERTS and supporting aircraft flights and ground observation teams. Data from the S-191 sensor will be used in future analysis.

(A) Overall Status

During the reporting period the SL-2 S190A&B photography, 4 seconds of interim S192 data, and the interim S191 data from pass #7 over the Lake Monroe test site were received. S190A&B photography from passes 42 and 51 flown during the SL-3 mission was received but is of little use because of clouds. For the SL-4 mission the S190A photography was received for pass 90 over Ft. Wayne.

The S190A & the S192 data from the SL-2 mission have been processed. The S192 interim (unfiltered) data has been reformatted for LARSYS and has been analyzed. The S190 black and white multiband frames over the Lake Monroe test site was digitized by Mead Technology Corp. and the four bands have been registered. The S190A color IR frame over Lake Monroe was also separated, digitized and reformatted for use by LARSYS.

The S191 data from SL-2 was not processed because of warnings from JSC. that the data was no good.

To date the analysis has been a comparison of the S192 MSS data, the ERTS MSS data, the digitized baw multiband photography and the digitized multiemulsion color IR photography. At the present time the results of the analysis are being written in a paper for presentation at the A.A.S. conference in Los Angles. Further intensive analysis has been delayed until the filtered data sets have been received.

(B) Scientific Recommendations

It is recommended that the S192 data be easily available in a variety of states (raw, filtered, line straightened, conical

(£74-10661) [ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING OF LATA FROM THE SL-2 MISSION (EECP PLSS NO. 7) OVER THE LAKE MUNKOL, INDIANA TEST SITE | Yearly Meport, Jul. 1973 -(Purdue Univ.) 4 p HC \$4.00 CSCL 05B 63/13 00661

N74-29692

unclas

Yearly Report July 26, 1974 Page Two

etc.) for detailed sensor studies. Also detailed information on calibration procedures and algorithms used on specific data be made available and notice of changes made or of problems found with procedures that may affect the data received by the principle investigator.

(C) Expected Accomplishments

On receipt of the filtered SL-2 S192 data over the Lake Monroe test sight, an analysis similar to that of the unfiltered S192 data set already completed will be done for comparison of the two data sets. Other accomplishments will depend upon the data received.

(D) Results

The results of the analysis to date have been reported in a paper submitted for the A.A.S. conference in Los Angeles in August. A comparison of the four sensors mentioned previously was done in a land-use analysis of the Lake Monroe area, principally Lawerence County, Indiana using nine land-use classes-Residential, Commercial, Extractive, Soil, Grass, Deciduous Forest, Coniferous Forest, River, & Lake.

The analysis was done using the "best" four channels of the S192 MSS and also using the four channels which are most similar to the ERTS MSS. All four channels of the ERTS MSS were used, as was all four channels of the digitized black and white multiband photography data set, and all three channels of the digitized multiemulsion color IR data set. The classification performance results are given in Table 1.

The "best" four channels of Skylab gave comparable results to the ERTS MSS. It also appears that the inability to register the 'ww multiband photography exactly and an indistinct boundary around Lake Monroe in the infrared bands affected the classification performance of the bww multiband data set. The anomaly is in the 2nd generation transparencies that we have in house. It appears that the anomaly may have been introduced by flare in a lens system or in duplication product processing.

A study was also done to try to obtain a comparison of the noise between the ERTS MSS and the Skylab MSS. The details of how the figures were obtained are given in the A.A.S. paper. The ERTS MSS appears to have less noise than the Skylab MSS. See Table 2.

Yearly Report July 26, 1974 Page Three

Table 1
CLASSIFICATION PERFORMANCE RESULTS
(PERCENT CORRECT)

SKYLAB HSS

Class	3.7.8.11	3,5,6,8	ERTS MSS	Color IR	4 Band B&W
Residential	97	81	97	91	84
Com-Ind.	73	33	61	76	46
Extractive	51	59	61	32 .	34
Soil	87	78	83	67	78
Grass	95	86	93	82	69
Wood-Decid.	81	80	86	84	77
Coniferous	99	68	95	85 [.]	43
River	87	27	77	16	64
Lake	89	86	86	98	93
Overall (by points)	87	80	88	83	76
Class Average	84	66	82	70	65

Table 2

DATA QUALITY MEASURES

OBTAINED FROM A PORTION OF LAKE MONROE

SKYLAB MSS (S192)

Channel	Mean	Std. Dev.	Data Spread*(x10.73)
2	98,93	6.41	65.
3	53.34	3.39	64.
	44.85	3.95	88.
4 5 6	36.35	3.47	95.
6 .	34.73	4.63	133.
7	42.86	1.90	44.
8	38.68	3.64	94.
ğ,	33.21	2.79	84.
10	49.43	4.11	83.
· 11	44.57	1.84	41.
12	3.46	3.92	1,111.
		ERTS MSS	
1	66.00	1.58	24.
2	43.26	1.54	36.
3	• 32,16	2.58	80.
4, .	19.80	2.56	130.

*Std. Dev./Mean

Yearly Report July 26, 1974 Page Four

(E) Travel Summary and Plans

A trip to the Ribeyre Island test site was made in August, 1973 in preparation of the test site during S1-3; however, the site was never flown. Two trips were made to Bloomington, Indiana for consultation with the Bloomington Municipal Planning Department. Also travel to southern Tippecanoe County was made to plan a pass during SL-3. The pass was never flown.

Future travel is planned to JSC. for the Principle Investigators Data Meeting in July and to Los Angeles for the A.A.S conference in August.

Report submitted by:
Dr. LeRoy F. Silva
Laboratory for Applications of
Remote Sensing
Purdue University
1220 Potter Drive
West Lafayette, Indiana 47906

Contract Monitor
R. E. Joostan
Mail Code TF 6
Johnson Space Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Houston, Texas 77058

LFS:dsc